## **Public Document Pack**





# NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL JOINT COMMITTEE ON STRATEGIC PLANNING AND TRANSPORT

**Date:** Friday, 8 September 2017

**Time:** 10.00 am

Place: Ground Floor Committee Room - Loxley House, Station Street, Nottingham,

NG2 3NG

Councillors are requested to attend the above meeting to transact the following business

gbudenell

## **Corporate Director for Strategy and Resources**

Governance Officer: Zena West Direct Dial: 01158764305

1	A D ∩ I	OGIES		ADCEN	
1	APUL	OGIES	FUR	ARSEN	ILE

#### 2 DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS

3	MINUTES Of the meeting held on 16 June 2017 (for confirmation)	3 - 6
4	TRANSPORT UPDATE Report of the Joint Officer Steering Group	7 - 10
5	GREATER NOTTINGHAM JOINT PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD UPDATE Report of the Joint Officer Steering Group	11 - 20
6	NOTTINGHAMSHIRE AND NOTTINGHAM WASTE LOCAL PLAN AND NOTTINGHAMSHIRE MINERALS LOCAL PLAN UPDATES Report of the Joint Officer Steering Group	21 - 22
7	JOINT COMMITTEE WORK PROGRAMME Report of the Joint Officer Steering Group	23 - 26

IF YOU NEED ANY ADVICE ON DECLARING AN INTEREST IN ANY ITEM ON THE AGENDA, PLEASE CONTACT THE GOVERNANCE OFFICER SHOWN ABOVE, IF POSSIBLE BEFORE THE DAY OF THE MEETING

CITIZENS ATTENDING MEETINGS ARE ASKED TO ARRIVE AT LEAST 15 MINUTES BEFORE THE START OF THE MEETING TO BE ISSUED WITH VISITOR BADGES

CITIZENS ARE ADVISED THAT THIS MEETING MAY BE RECORDED BY MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. ANY RECORDING OR REPORTING ON THIS MEETING SHOULD TAKE PLACE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COUNCIL'S POLICY ON RECORDING AND REPORTING ON PUBLIC MEETINGS, WHICH IS AVAILABLE AT WWW.NOTTINGHAMCITY.GOV.UK. INDIVIDUALS INTENDING TO RECORD THE MEETING ARE ASKED TO NOTIFY THE GOVERNANCE OFFICER SHOWN ABOVE IN ADVANCE.

#### NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL

#### JOINT COMMITTEE ON STRATEGIC PLANNING AND TRANSPORT

MINUTES of the meeting held at LB 31-32 - Loxley House, Station Street, Nottingham, NG2 3NG on 16 June 2017 from 10.04 am - 10.39 am

#### **Membership**

Present Absent

Councillor Jane Urguhart (Chair) Councillor Gordon Wheeler (Vice-Chair)

Councillor Andrew Brown Councillor Alan Clark Councillor Jim Creamer Councillor Neghat Khan

Councillor Eric Kerry Councillor Sally Longford

#### Colleagues, partners and others in attendance:

- Transport Strategy Manager Kevin Sharman ) Nottinghamshire - Planning Group Manager Sally Gill ) County Council

- Growth Point Planning & Planning Policy Manager ) Nottingham Matt Gregory

Matt Gregory James Ashton - Transport Strategy Business Manager ) City Cath Ziane-Pryor - Governance Officer ) Council

#### 1 **MEMBERSHIP**

### **RESOLVED** to note the revised Membership for 2017/18 as follows:

Nottingham City Council Nottinghamshire County Council

Councillor Jane Urguhart (Chair) Councillor Gordon Wheeler (Vice-Chair)

Councillor Andy Brown Councillor Alan Clark Councillor Sally Longford Councillor Jim Creamer Councillor Neghat Khan Councillor Eric Kerry

#### 2 **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

) other Council Business Councillor Alan Clark Councillor Neghat Khan Councillor Gordon Wheeler

Councillor Kevin Rostance ) (substitute for Councillor Wheeler)

#### 3 **DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS**

None.

#### 4 MINUTES AND NOTES

#### **MINUTES**

The minutes of the meeting held on 9 December 2016, were confirmed as a true record and signed by the Chair.

#### b NOTES

Subject to Councillor Steve Calvert being shown as in attendance, the informal notes of the meeting held on 10 March 2017 were agreed as a true record.

#### 5 TRANSPORT UPDATE

James Ashton, Nottingham City Council Transport Strategy Business Manager, presented the report of the Joint Officer Steering Group which provides a summary update on the following topics:

- Revised Air Quality Plan
- Cycling and Walking Investment Strategy
- National Productivity Investment Fund (NPIF) 2018-20 Funding Bid opportunity
- Midlands Connect Published Strategy
- East Midlands Rail Franchise

Transport colleagues responded to Councillors' and representatives' questions as follows:

- (a) there is City and County Councils joint working with regard to the current proposals for the cycling and walking Investment Strategy which is currently considering linking the ring road with the A60 onto Trent Bridge with a focus on the impact south of the River Trent;
- (b) with regard to the NPIF bid opportunity, guidance has been issued which requires the bid to be very specifically focused so in this instance it is possible that joint working with D2N2 may be considered;
- (c) with regard to the concerns that jobs have been created only 4 miles away at Kegworth but bus connections aren't adequate to get citizens to those jobs, the focus of the NPIF is capital infrastructure and challenging congestion. Adding new infrastructure would not qualify for consideration;
- (d) the air quality of Broxtowe has been raised as an issue and as a result, the possibility of a Joint City/ Broxtowe Air Quality Unit will be discussed by senior colleagues shortly;
- (e) the East Midlands Rail Franchise consultation is paused until after the General Election. Franchise lengths are currently proposed to be limited to 7 year periods due to the potential for electrification which will largely require different rolling stock.

#### Comments included:

- (f) as a result the City and County Councils working together on joint transport projects, the strength and profile of funding bids has been increased and proved successful over bids from some other local authorities working in isolation. Joint working should therefore be considered for further projects;
- (g) the Committee and each authority will continue to jointly and individually push Central Government to electrify the Midland Mainline.

RESOLVED to note the update and for the Chair and Vice-Chair, on behalf of the Joint Committee, to lobby Central Government and relevant Members of Parliament for electrification of the Midland Mainline.

# 6 NOTTINGHAMSHIRE AND NOTTINGHAM WASTE LOCAL PLAN AND NOTTINGHAMSHIRE MINERALS LOCAL PLAN UPDATES

Sally Gill, Planning Group Manger (Nottinghamshire County Council), and Matt Gregory, Growth Point Planning & Planning Policy Manager (Nottingham City Council) presented the report of the Joint Officer Steering Group, highlighting the following points and responding to questions:

- the City and County Councils are working together on the Waste Local Plan and have previously agreed strategic planning policies for a Waste Core Strategy as (part 1 of the Local Plan) and work is progressing with joint work but following a change in circumstances, an interim review will be undertaken on how to progress planning for Waste in the two Councils, and options presented to the next meeting Committee;
- Nottinghamshire County Council has independently prepared the 'Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan' which is now to be revised with further consideration of the level of aggregates. The Committee will be informed of the new timetable for publication of the revised plan.

#### **RESOLVED** to note the report.

## 7 HOUSING WHITE PAPER "FIXING OUR BROKEN HOUSING MARKET"

Matt Gregory, Growth Point Planning & Planning Policy Manager (Nottingham City Council) presented the report of the Joint Officer Steering Group, on the Housing White Paper 'Fixing Our Broken Housing Market'.

It is noted that there are wider implications than to just housing delivery and there are several issues of direct relevance to this Committee. These points are identified in the report.

Comments from the Committee included;

- (a) the Greater Nottingham Joint Planning Advisory Board has recently considered the paper and the responses have been made by each Council to the consultation;
- (b) it is a concern that green belt land may be used for development, even in exceptional circumstances, and that whilst the current local planning process seeks to ensure that applications are suitable and meet the needs of the area (via local plans), national legislation is moving towards overriding this localism, which results in uncertainty for citizens;
- (c) whilst there appears to be a national issue with the speed of the planning process, this was not the case for Nottingham City;
- (d) it's disappointing that local issues may not in future be eligible to be considered with the same importance and that the simplified approach to planning from Central

Joint Committee on Strategic Planning and Transport - 16.06.17

Government will result in a less of a focus on bio-diversity, with fewer powers to control impact;

(e) Central Government is focusing on the need for housing and is silent on the Minerals and Waste Plans although it is inevitable that there will be an impact.

#### **RESOLVED** to note the report.

### 8 JOINT COMMITTEE WORK PROGRAMME

Matt Gregory, Growth Point Planning & Planning Policy Manager (Nottingham City Council) presented the report of the Joint Officer Steering Group, which proposed a committee work programme for the municipal Year.

Members of the Committee were invited to suggest items for future consideration which would be mutually beneficial to the City and County.

It is noted that the East Midland's Rail Franchise may be revisited depending on the outcome of the consultation.

**RESOLVED** to note the report and work programme.

#### 9 FUTURE MEETING DATES

RESOLVED to approve that the Committee meet on the following Fridays at 10am in Loxley House:

- 8 September 2017
- 8 December 2017
- 9 March 2018

Meeting: JOINT COMMITTEE ON STRATEGIC PLANNING AND TRANSPORT

Date: 8 September 2017

From: Joint Officer Steering Group

### **Transport Update**

#### 1 SUMMARY

1.1 This report provides the Committee with an update on the transport related issues across the Greater Nottingham conurbation. The report provides an update on the Air Quality Strategy, Transport Funding Bids, High Speed Two, Midland Mainline Electrification, East Midlands Rail Franchise, Transport Investment Strategy and Aviation Strategy Consultation.

#### 2 BACKGROUND

#### **Air Quality Strategy**

- 2.1 On the 5<sup>th</sup> May 2017, central government published the revised draft Air Quality Strategy as a result of a legal challenge which ruled the previous air quality strategy published in December 2015 as being insufficient.
- 2.2 The consultation for the Air Quality Strategy closed on 15<sup>th</sup> June 2017. On the 27<sup>th</sup> July 2017, central government released the final version of the Air Quality Strategy. This report has identified a total of 39 local authorities in exceedance of Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2) emissions, with the cities of Nottingham, and Derby locally being identified.
- 2.3 For Nottingham, the area of exceedance is located on the A6514 Ring Road and A52 Clifton Boulevard (the latter of which is operated by Highways England). As a result of this designation, Nottingham City Council needs to develop a local Air Quality plan to achieve statutory NO2 values within the shortest possible time. An initial plan needs to be in place by the end of March 2018, with the final plan in place by December 2018.

#### **Transport Funding Bids Update**

- 2.4 On the 6th April 2017, the Department for Transport (DfT) announced a bidding round for the National Productivity Investment Fund (NPIF), with a total of £490million being made available nationally to local authorities over 2018/19 and 2019/20 for local transport. All bids had to be submitted to DfT by the 30<sup>th</sup> June 2017.
- 2.5 Nottingham City Council has submitted two funding bids: Nottingham's Congestion Corridors with improvements to the A60 and A453 corridors, and a bid for Contactless and Mobile Public Transport ticketing across the Robin Hood ticket area.
- 2.6 Nottinghamshire County Council has submitted a bid to deliver journey time improvements on the A611 (Annesley) at the Shoulder of Mutton/A611 and A611/Coxmoor Road junctions.
- 2.7 An announcement relating to these funding bids is anticipated sometime within Autumn 2017.
- 2.8 On the 4<sup>th</sup> July 2017, the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) announced a funding bid for the Housing Infrastructure Fund, with £2.3billion being made available to local authorities to provide infrastructure to support housing sites. Funding is

- split into two funding streams: the Housing Viability fund and the Forward Planning Fund. Bids to the viability fund and expressions of interest to the forward planning fund should be submitted by 28<sup>th</sup> September 2017.
- 2.9 In addition to these announced funding bids, it is anticipated that the Maintenance Challenge Fund covering 2018 to 2020 for major maintenance to the highway network is expected to be announced shortly. No timescales for the bidding process have been announced to date.

## High Speed 2 Phase 2b route alignment confirmation

- 2.10 On the 17<sup>th</sup> July 2017, the Department for Transport provided confirmation on the alignments for phase 2a (Birmingham to Crewe) and 2b (Crewe to Manchester and Birmingham to Sheffield and Leeds).
- 2.11 The key alterations to the alignment of the route in the area includes realignment of the route to the south of East Midlands Airport to avoid tunneling under the airport the route now closely follows the route of the A42 before heading northwards towards Long Eaton, confirmation of the "high level" viaduct option through Long Eaton through to the East Midlands Hub site at Toton. The route also confirms the proposals made in November 2016 for the route further north, with a spur linking onto the Midland Mainline at Clay Cross for services to Sheffield Midland on the existing rail line, with the main route realigned on the M18 corridor) opposed to a station at Sheffield Meadowhall.
- 2.12 There is no mention of rail connections being provided at Toton for high speed services to operate onto the existing rail network.

#### **Midland Mainline Electrification**

- 2.13 On the 20<sup>th</sup> July 2017, the Department for Transport announced alongside the East Midlands Rail Franchise Consultation, that it was cancelling the Midland Mainline Electrification north of Kettering and Corby. The DfT now prefers the use of bi-mode trains (able to use electric wires or diesel/alternative fuel on non-electrified sections) stating that this would enable improvements to the service sooner, and without the disruption of installing overhead equipment. Alongside this announcement, the proposed electrification between Cardiff and Swansea, and Oxenholme and Windermere was also cancelled.
- 2.14 A future separate decision will be made regarding the route which HS2 services are intended to operate along the Midland Mainline, between Clay Cross and Sheffield station.

#### **East Midlands Rail Franchise Update**

- 2.15 In conjunction with the HS2 announcement, the DfT announced a public consultation regarding the future East Midlands Rail Franchise. The consultation contains 30 questions relating to the future shape of the rail franchise.
- 2.16 Some of the proposals in the franchise include the operations along the Midland Mainline, local train services, operation of the Liverpool-Norwich train service and potential changes to the franchise mapping to include Nottingham-Birmingham services.
- 2.17 The consultation operates until the 11<sup>th</sup> October 2017.

#### **Transport Investment Strategy Paper**

2.18 On the 5<sup>th</sup> July 2017, the Department for Transport announced a policy paper regarding future transport funding over the coming years. The paper confirms that there is to be a

review and consultation regarding the Integrated Transport Block (ITB) and Maintenance Block (MB) funding allocations from 2018/19.

2.19 The policy paper also announced that a proportion of funding from Vehicle Excise Duty (VED) would be utilised for improvements and maintenance of a Major Road Network (MRN) – a network of designated main local authority routes similar to the Highways England network. It is envisaged that this network will be in place from 2020. A consultation regarding the routes designated and allocation of funding will be set out in autumn 2017.

#### **Aviation Strategy Consultation**

- 2.20 On the 21<sup>st</sup> July 2017, the Department for Transport launched a call for evidence on the future of aviation within the UK. This includes "surface access" to airports, airport capacity, changes in technology, security, information provision and ensuring global connectivity.
- 2.21 The consultation operates until the 13<sup>th</sup> October 2017.

#### 3 RECOMMENDATION(S)

3.1 It is recommended that the Committee note the contents of this report.

#### 4 BACKGROUND PAPERS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

4.1 Joint Air Quality Unit Draft Air Quality Strategy, May 2017.

NPIF for the Local Road Network – Nottingham Bids, June 2017.

Housing Infrastructure Fund bid guidance, DCLG, July 2017.

High Speed Two Phase 2b Consultation outcome, DfT, July 2017.

"Improvements for Rail Passengers for Wales, the Midlands and the North" DfT Press Release, July 2017.

Future of the East Midlands Rail Franchise, Public Consultation, DfT, July 2017.

Transport Investment Strategy Paper, DfT, July 2017.

Aviation Strategy Consultation, DfT, July 2017.

#### **Contact Officers**

Chris Carter, Development and Growth, Nottingham City Council

Tel: 0115 8763940

Email: chris.carter@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

Kevin Sharman, Place Department, Nottinghamshire County Council

Tel: 0115 9772970

Email: kevin.sharman@nottscc.gov.uk



Meeting: JOINT COMMITTEE ON STRATEGIC PLANNING AND TRANSPORT

Date: 08 September 2017

From: Joint Officer Steering Group

#### GREATER NOTTINGHAM JOINT PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD UPDATE

#### 1 SUMMARY

1.1 The Greater Nottingham Joint Planning Advisory Board (JPAB) oversees the preparation of aligned Local Plans across Greater Nottingham, and the implementation of the Programme of Development infrastructure projects. This report updates the Joint Committee on the work of JPAB.

## 2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 There have been no meetings of JPAB since the last meeting of Joint Committee, the last JPAB meeting took place on 15 June 2017.
- 2.2 At the time of writing the minutes of this meeting had not been agreed by the Board, and will be reported to the next Joint Committee. The minutes of the previous meeting which took place on 2 March are appended to this report (Appendix 1), and a summary of issues discussed at the 15 June meeting is provided below.

#### JPAB meeting held 15 June 2017

- 2.3 Due to there being a number of new Members of JPAB at the June meeting, the Board noted its Terms of Reference and received a presentation on the previous work of the Board and on its immediate future priorities, which include implementing the core Strategies through the preparation of Part 2 Local Plans and the development of strategic sites. Future work of the JPAB also includes managing the Housing and Communities Agency's Capacity Fund as a result of a successful JPAB bid for funding, and beginning early work on the review of the Core Strategies.
- 2.4 The position on Local Plan preparation and the development of strategic sites throughout Greater Nottingham was noted, with Councilors being particularly interested in progress with the Gedling Examination, as Gedling is the first Council to reach this stage.
- 2.5 JPAB had previously delegated making a response to the Housing White Paper "Fixing our Broken Housing Market" (Feb 2017) to officers, and a report outlining the submitted response was received.
- 2.6 JPAB also received reports setting out its core revenue budget for 2017/18, the remaining capital budget, and noted the success of the joint bid for HCA Capacity Funding, JPAB being awarded £885,000 of new revenue funding to unlock barriers to development on key sites.
- 2.7 The next meeting of JPAB will be on 14 September 2017.

#### 3 RECOMMENDATION(S)

3.1 It is recommended that the Joint Committee note the contents of this report.

#### **BACKGROUND PAPERS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT** 4

### 4.1 None.

#### **Contact Officer**

Matt Gregory Planning Policy and Research Manager Nottingham City Council Tel: 0115 876 3981

E-mail: matt.gregory@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

#### **APPENDIX 1**

# MINUTES OF THE GREATER NOTTINGHAM JOINT PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD (JPAB) HELD ON THURSDAY 2 MARCH 2017 AT BROXTOWE BOROUGH COUNCIL

#### **PRESENT**

**Broxtowe**: Councillor T Harper (Chair)

**Erewash:** Councillor M Powell **Gedling:** Councillor J Hollingsworth

Nottinghamshire County Council: Councillor J Creamer

Rushcliffe: Councillor R Butler

#### Officers in Attendance

**Ashfield**: Neil Oxby

**Broxtowe:** Mrs Ruth Hyde; David Lawson **Derbyshire County Council**: Steve Buffery

Erewash: Steve Birkinshaw

Gedling: Dawn Alvey

**Greater Nottingham PP:** Matthew Gregory **Nottinghamshire County:** Stephen Pointer

Rushcliffe: David Mitchell

#### Observers

General Public: John Hancock

**HCA**: Mark Banister

Peveril Homes: Paul Stone

#### **Apologies**

Ashfield: Councillor D Davis; Mrs Christine Sarris

**Broxtowe**: Steffan Saunders

Derbyshire Council: Councillor P Dunn; Christine Massey

Nottingham City: Councillor N McDonald; Councillor J Urquhart; Paul Seddon

Nottinghamshire County Council: Councillor S Calvert; Mrs Sally Gill; Councillor K Greaves

### 1. Welcome and Apologies

- 1.1 Councillor T Harper, Chair, welcomed those attending and apologies noted.
- 1.2 An apology was received from Christine Massey, Derbyshire County Council, who announced that she was leaving DCC on 13 March. She wanted to pass on her best wishes to Members of the Board and said that it had been a great pleasure to have worked with all of you.
- 1.3 The Chair also announced that Mark Banister would be leaving HCA and wished him all the best for the future. MB reported that another delegate would be appointed to the group. He said HCA was currently going through a restructure and as yet it had to be decided how they will manage the area.
- 1.4 The Chair welcomed back Dawn Alvey representing Gedling Borough Council.

## 2. <u>Declarations of Interest</u>

There were no declarations of interest.

### 3. <u>Minutes of the Last Meeting and Matters Arising</u>

3.1 The minutes of the meeting held on 15 December 2016 were approved following amendment to page 2 Item 4.2 referring to the fact that it was the low embankment option which had no technical details, not the viaduct option.

RH had sent a letter to HS2 complaining about the lack of relevant details but to date has not received a response.

#### 4. **Local Plans Update** (Matt Gregory)

4.1 ADC submitted their Local Plan on 24 February. Hearing sessions will be arranged in the near future with an update at the next meeting.

BBC now has approval for their residential allocations to be published subject to additional work in relation to an additional site at Brinsley.

EBC had their Stanton SPD adopted on 19 January as part of the development framework.

GBC currently at examination stage.

Nottingham City had a review of the deliverability of a number of sites with a new timetable which is likely to involve a further round of consultation prior to submission. RBC is working on further options for housing delivery.

- 4.2 The Minerals Local Plan was submitted to the Secretary of State 15 December 2016 with the hearing session to take place in June 2017.
- 4.3 JH gave an update from GBC's Examination by the Inspector which commenced on 6 February. The areas covered were the housing allocations and the site selection process. Following the first week the Inspector was aware of concerns with Duty to Cooperate which had consequential impacts on site issues. The approach to calculating housing supply and 5 year land supply was supported, but the Inspector required more detailed information on future delivery dates/rates for some sites. The Inspector was concerned that no provision for gypsy and travellers had been included as part of a

policy to address their needs, despite the fact that all the gypsies and travellers recorded in GBC live in bricks and mortar.

The Inspector asked for views on what bearing the Housing White Paper should have on the Plan, considering that it is a consultation document and the regulatory framework does not yet form part of the Government policy.

By 23 March all GBC proposed changes will be put forward to the examination. GBC will need to revisit housing allocations policy for distribution of homes, and revise the 5 year land supply calculation based on the most up to date information.

Joint Planning Advisory Board was resolved to NOTE the progress with the Local Plans covering Greater Nottingham and the progress on the implementation of strategic sites included in the Local Plans covering Greater Nottingham.

- 5. **Housing White Paper** (Matt Gregory)
- 5.1 Fixing our Broken Housing Market (presentation)

MG presented his summary of the Government's Housing White Paper (HWP), which was published last month, and how it would reflect on the work of this group.

The HWP refers to the under supply of new housing. The main three themes identified were:

- 1. New planning policy landscape
- 2. Better use of land
- 3. Improving housing delivery

Planning Policy: The HWP highlights that too few councils have a Local Plan and proposes that everywhere should have an up-to-date Local Plan with a 5 year review.

Duty to Co-operate is not working as well in other parts of the country as it does in Greater Nottingham, and the HWP proposes introducing a Statement of Common Ground for Councils to set out how they are meeting the Duty to Cooperate, and in particular how they intend to address objectively assessed development needs.

NPPF currently contains a presumption for a single Local Plan for each LPA. The HWP will allow for a more flexible approach, along the lines of the Aligned Core Strategies, and this is welcomed as it can be tailored to local circumstances.

Small/medium house builders will be encouraged to develop smaller sites through a variety of mechanisms. Neighbourhood Plans should be able to request a housing figure to provide in their Neighbourhood Plans. All local plans should set out design expectations.

Improving Delivery: The HWP acknowledges that there are delays in sites getting planning approval. Councils will have the option of having their five year land supply fixed for one year, but in doing so would require a 10% buffer.

Where there is a large scale public investment (eg HS2) this could help to unlock the housing supply, and Local Plans should show how housing uplift will be achieved.

Planning fees are to be subject to a 20% increase, with a further 20% increase available for LPAs delivering against their Local Plans. The uplift will be ring fenced to speed up the planning process.

LPAs will be penalised where housing provision falls behind housing targets in their Local Plans. This appears to replicate in large part the approach to 5 year land calculations, and will result in LPAs being penalised for events outside their control, such as a market downturn.

There will be a major announcement in the Autumn Budget 2017 relating to CIL/S106 agreements.

The HWP relaxes provisions for starter homes, which are now included as part of the affordable homes package, although LPAs will be expected to deliver 10% affordable homes to buy on sites over 10 dwellings.

TH – To have an extra 20% charge is welcome, and most LPAs would agree to go for it. This could help pay towards subs to JPAB.

RH – The rules are clear that we cannot substitute the fees. We should be able to demonstrate additionality if there is some opportunity of money to support the work of this Group as this would help us in our plan making, delivery and processes.

TH – Not necessarily for this year but for future years.

DM – thanked MG for the White Paper update. JPAB suggested ESG prepare a response. Where Councils are working together to deliver housing, there should be a mechanism to share that five year housing supply across the area. He reported that RBC had to provide double the number of homes that BBC and GBC are for their large strategic sites which were some way off achieving delivery of housing. He asked for support for this approach.

RH – would need some kind of flexibility on delivery rates and it is not right to treat an area in isolation. Think how to revise our plan and look at issue and see how housing need will be distributed across our area in the future will be challenging. Given the absence of a Regional Spatial Strategy next time, agreeing a distribution of housing across the JPAB area will not be so straight forward, but will be very contentious and challenging. The HWP does not seem to help much in this regard.

SBk (EBC) – next round of plan making particularly challenging if individual LA's only aim is to protect their own interests. However If a shared approach is taken, there would be more responsibility as a partnership to be more positive and more helpful as a group to respond to the challenge.

JC – raised concern with high density properties which brings its own problems with antisocial behaviour, car parking etc. The government's approach to viability is punishing district councils.

JH – on the home ownership point it is becoming more difficult to own your own home with the average house price being £215k. Landlords are running longer tenancies and not accepting housing benefit claimants.

TH – Quality of design is an issue, for instance at Field Farm. Inspector was in favour of the builder but in doing so, losing the quality of the development.

RB – does high density mean the return of tower blocks?

RH – Only government can resolve why the housing market is broken as builders are complaining that lack of funds and resources to provide schools etc which leads to a lack of money for infrastructure.

SP – radical changes are likely to be made to CIL and S106, including the relaxation of pooling rules. Our response needs to make the case for a more transparent and workable scheme.

NO – ADC is pooling contributions for new schools but limited by S106 rules.

TH – a case in BBC where a school needed rebuilding, adjoining land was sold and used for housing. This gets the housing numbers up on a brownfield site and the school is rebuilt.

MB – try to implement growth where there's potential housing growth to go with HS2 Growth Strategy and make it work across boundaries.

RH – is there any appetite for officers within this Group to invest a small portion of their 20% fee increase into resources to help this Group accelerate its housing delivery. Need a decision made by 13/3/17 as do not want to miss this opportunity.

TH – will do anything to speed up housing supply then would be good use of funds.

RB (RBC) – would invest

MP (EBC) – would invest.

RH - (£80k) invest in our own planning department to resource this group.

DM – with the large sites capacity fund need to work out how the pool will work out and what goes into the shared management.

RH – These initiatives rising from the HWP will need to be considered, discussed and reported back at the next meeting.

RB – There are very few brownfield sites within RBC. The public wish to protect the Green Belt, interested to see how the government see this working out.

MP – What Green Belt offers to a city or a town can be different in different locations, with some areas being more valuable, but how do you measure its importance? It should be the less important land that is released for development.

SB (DCC) – people are often confused between Green Belt, greenfield and green open spaces.

### Joint Planning Advisory Board was resolved to:

- (a) CONSIDER the implications of the strategic planning proposals put forward in the White Paper.
- (b) DELEGATE Executive Steering Group to prepare a response to the White Paper consultation on strategic planning matters.
- 6. **Programme of Development** (Matt Gregory)
- 6.1 Capital Programme Update:
- 6.1.1 Ilkeston Station is now paid up and due to open on 2 April 2017.
- 6.1.2 Knights Close is well underway and the final claim is anticipated by the end of this financial year.
- 6.1.3 A claim is still awaited for Wetland Landscapes which will run into the next financial year.
- 6.2 Revenue Update:
- 6.2.1 The balance of approx. £120k will be rolled forward to JPAB's evidence base studies.
- 6.2.2 Commissioned work to undertake a Flood Risk Assessment should be available shortly.
- 6.3 HCA Capacity Fund

MB revealed that the joint bid had gone through the HCA process for DCLG's decision. The JPAB bid had received a positive response from HCA but the money will need to be

released by the end of this financial year. He advised that JPAB needed to clarify the Accountable Body and suggested Nottingham City. Confirmation from Nottingham City's S151 Officer would be required to receive the £850k.

MB confirmed that there would be if no conditions attached to it. He will inform the group of his successor. If the bid is approved in its entirety then the group should ensure resources would be allocated to areas over the next three to four years.

RH agreed that ESG needed to redevelop their thinking and proposals to respond to those points.

MB proposed that if JPAB was successful HCA could be invited to the ESG.

Joint Planning Advisory Board was resolved to NOTE the update on the capital and revenue programmes.

- 7. <u>HS2 Route Refinement Consultation 2016/17</u> (Steve Birkinshaw)
- 7.1 SBk explained that the HS2 route was a conurbation issue. The preferred line would pass through Long Eaton and therefore two options were considered to identify their potential consequences. His presentation made comparisons between the two options which focused on the impact during construction; flood risk issues; noise emissions and visual impact.
- 7.2 Option 1: the line would run along a viaduct through Long Eaton and continue to the hub station at Toton; and

Option 2: the line would run along a raised embankment and continue to the hub station at Toton.

- Both options had some visual impact but Option 2 was found to be more visually oppressive to residents.
- More demolition work and disruption to the town would be required to create a road subway beneath the embankment.
- The road subway would be below surface level and therefore at possible flood risk.

Both options would need viaducts continuing onto the hub station at Toton with a 10m height clearance.

- 7.3 MP emphasised the importance of having a modern design. If JPAB presses for a viaduct, the bridge could become an iconic structure. Derbyshire's evidence preferred the viaduct option valuing its benefits and opportunities. The HS2 Delivery Board will next meet on 6 March.
- 7.4 JC drew attention to the huge wall for the embankment option which could lead to graffiti and would also close off the town. He would support the viaduct option for its future benefits with less maintenance being required compared to the street level option.
- 7.5 MB reported that HS2 Ltd would have costed both options but would not necessarily choose the cheaper option.

Joint Planning Advisory Board was resolved to:

- (a) RECOMMEND to the Secretary of State the viaduct option through Long Eaton as the option that will cause the least harm to the local community.
- (b) REQUEST that the Secretary of State has due regard to the desirability of enabling classic compatible trains, new classic through rail services, new classic shuttle train services, an extension of the NET Tram, mass-transit connections to Derby and East Midlands Airport, local through bus service operation, taxi, cycling and pedestrian access from both sides of the station, and the strategic development potential of adjacent land in the design of the East Midlands Hub Station.

#### 8. **AOB**

8.1 DM advised that RBC held a Planning Peer Review on 15-17 February. They had "critical friends" including representation from the LGA to look at their planning services. Their next meeting would look at internal development control committees, and externally the development growth agenda to meet the five year land supply. The report will be taken to Cabinet in April/May.

Feedback was to focus and make the most of valuable planning resources. It was recommended that JPAB be reinvigorated, it had recognition for the development of the Core Strategy, but now needed a mechanism to deliver. DM stated that RBC had the two largest sites in the HMA and seeks views on how JPAB can work together to focus more on delivery.

- 8.2 TH pointed out that JPAB is about delivering houses and sharing best practices.
- 8.3 MB suggested the group identify housing sites collectively where there is demand, if keen to align, to help boost economic growth in the area. LEP's growth fund allocation budget would be available 2020/21.
- 8.4 RH explained that LEP had not been able to give the group its support for housing and wondered how LEP funding could support housing growth. It was suggested to invite David Ralph to explain what LEP's strategy is and how it could put money into this area to deliver the Core Strategies.
- 8.5 TH asked MG to include Peer Review on the next agenda.

## 9. **Future Meetings 2017**

DATE	TIME	VENUE
Thursday 15 June	2.00 pm	Old Council Chamber, Town Hall, Beeston
Thursday 14 September	2.00 pm	Old Council Chamber, Town Hall, Beeston
Thursday 14 December	2.00 pm	Old Council Chamber, Town Hall, Beeston

MEETING CLOSED AT 4.00 PM

Meeting:	JOINT COMMITTEE ON STRATEGIC PLANNING AND TRANSPORT
Date:	08 September 2017
From:	Joint Officer Steering Group

## NOTTINGHAMSHIRE AND NOTTINGHAM WASTE LOCAL PLAN AND NOTTINGHAMSHIRE MINERALS LOCAL PLAN UPDATES

#### 1 SUMMARY

- 1.1 This report informs committee of progress with preparing:
  - the Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Replacement Waste Local Plan; and
  - the revised Nottinghamshire Minerals Local Plan.

### 2 BACKGROUND

Waste

- 2.1 The Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Replacement Waste Local Plan is being prepared jointly with Nottingham City Council. It was intended that the Plan would be prepared in two parts. The first part of the Plan, the Waste Core Strategy, was adopted by both Councils in December 2013 and sets out the overall vision and strategic planning policies for the development of future waste management facilities across Nottinghamshire and Nottingham.
- 2.2 It was intended that the second part of the Replacement Waste Local Plan would set out detailed development management policies and where necessary, specific sites appropriate for waste treatment and disposal
- 2.3 Until both parts of the replacement Plan are in place, key policies from the existing Waste Local Plan (Jan 2002) have been saved.
- 2.5 Unfortunately, demands on the resources of both the County and City Councils has meant that work on the Part 2 Waste Local Plan has not progressed as timetabled. The need to progress both the Nottingham City Sites and Development Plan by the City Council and the Revised Minerals Plan following its recent withdrawal from examination by the County Council need to take priority. Following discussion between the two authorities, it is proposed to defer further waste plan making until 2018 and then prepare a single Joint Waste Local Plan to incorporate a review of the Core Strategy and provide more detailed policies and site allocations where needed.
- 2.4 The proposed timetable for preparing the second part of the Plan is set out within the County Council's Minerals and Waste Development Scheme (MWDS) which was most recently updated in August 2017. It is anticipated that a consultation on the Scope, Issues and Options for the Waste Local Plan will take place in April 2019 with successive stages to follow:

- Consultation on Draft plan proposals October/November 2019
- Publication and Submission April/June 2020
- Examination September-December 2020
- Adoption February 2021

#### Minerals

- 2.8 On the 25<sup>th</sup> May 2017 the County Council resolved to withdraw the current draft Minerals Local Plan from examination, which was due to start on the 27<sup>th</sup> June 2017, and instruct officers to carry out an immediate review of the aggregates needs assessment and initiate the preparation of a revised Minerals Local Plan.
- 2.9 The proposed timetable for preparing the revised Minerals Local Plan is set out within the County Council's Minerals and Waste Development Scheme which was most recently updated in August 2017 and is being reported to Committee for approval on September 7<sup>th</sup> 2017. The updated MWDS sets the following timetable:
  - Consultation on the Scope, Issues and Options November 2017
  - Consultation on Draft plan proposals –June 2018
  - Publication and Submission November 2018/January 2019
  - Examination March-July 2019
  - Adoption October 2019

## 3 RECOMMENDATION(S)

3.1 It is recommended that the Joint Committee note the contents of this report.

#### 4 BACKGROUND PAPERS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

4.1 None.

#### **Contact Officer**

Stephen Pointer, Team Manager Planning Policy Place Directorate Nottinghamshire County Council Tel 0115 9939388

Email: stephen.pointer@nottscc.gov.uk

Matt Gregory, Policy and Research Manager Development Department Nottingham City Council Tel: 0115 876 3974

Email: matt.gregory@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

Meeting: JOINT COMMITTEE ON STRATEGIC PLANNING AND TRANSPORT

Date: 08 September 2017

From: Joint Officer Steering Group

#### **JOINT COMMITTEE WORK PROGRAMME**

#### 1 SUMMARY

1.1 To consider the Committee's work programme from September 2017 to March 2018.

#### 2 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 The Joint Committee work programme will assist the management of the committee's agenda, the scheduling of the committee's business and forward planning. The work programme will be updated and reviewed at each pre-agenda meeting and committee meeting. Any member of the committee is able to suggest items for possible inclusion.
- 2.2 The attached work programme includes items which can be anticipated at the present time. Other items may be added to the programme as they are identified.
- 2.3 The work programme for December 2017 to March 2018 will be prepared for the next meeting, and Councillors are invited to identify any specific issues to be addressed.

#### 3 RECOMMENDATION(S)

- 3.1 That the Joint Committee's work programme be noted and consideration be given to any future items.
- 3.2 That Joint Committee identify any work areas where partnership working between the two authorities would be mutually beneficial.

#### 4 BACKGROUND PAPERS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

4.1 None

#### **Contact Officer**

Matt Gregory, Policy and Research Manager Nottingham City Council

Tel: 0115 876 3974

Email: matt.gregory@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

Sally Gill, Group Manager Planning Nottinghamshire County Council

Tel: 0115 99 32608

Email: sally.gill@nottscc.gov.uk



## JOINT COMMITTEE ON STRATEGIC PLANNING AND TRANSPORT

## **WORK PROGRAMME TO MARCH 2018**

Report Title	Brief summary of agenda item	For Decision or Information?	Lead Officer	Report Author
8 September 2017				
JPAB Update	To provide an update on the work of JPAB.	Information	Matt Gregory	Matt Gregory
Waste and Minerals Local Plan Update	To provide an update on progress with preparing the Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Replacement Waste Local Plan.	Information	Sally Gill/Matt Gregory	Suzanne Osborne- James
Transport Update	To provide an update on key sustainable transport issues (including rail issues) for the Greater Nottingham area.	Information	Chris Carter/Kevin Sharman	Rasita Chadasama/Kevin Sharman
8 December 2017				
JPAB Update	To provide an update on the work of JPAB.	Information	Matt Gregory	Matt Gregory
Waste and Minerals Local Plan Update	To provide an update on progress with preparing the Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Replacement Waste Local Plan.	Information	Sally Gill/Matt Gregory	Suzanne Osborne- James
Transport Update	To provide an update on key sustainable	Information	Chris Carter/Kevin Sharman	Rasita Chadasama/Kevin

T	
а	
Q	
æ	
2	
တ	

	transport issues (including rail issues) for the Greater Nottingham area.			Sharman
9 March 2018				
JPAB Update	To provide an update on the work of JPAB.	Information	Matt Gregory	Matt Gregory
Waste and Minerals Local Plan Update	To provide an update on progress with preparing the Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Replacement Waste Local Plan.	Information	Sally Gill/Matt Gregory	Suzanne Osborne- James
Transport Update	To provide an update on key sustainable transport issues (including rail issues) for the Greater Nottingham area.	Information	Chris Carter/Kevin Sharman	Rasita Chadasama/Kevin Sharman